Bond Performance Audit Tracker Status of Performance Audit Recommendations September 2021 - BAC Report

Bond Year	#	Abbreviated Recommendation	Response	Status
2012	1	pdate mont! "# prosect bud%et prosections in time"# &a# and inc"ude R' (estimates of	Concur	Comp"ete
		potentia" c! an%es)		
2012	2a	C(*+C contracts to re, uire proactive participation of C(*+C ⁢! arc! itect)	-onconcur	Comp"ete
2012	2b	. nsure + (Ps are e/ecuted at contractua ^{##} proscribed point in desi%n)	Concur ⁢! comment	Comp"ete
2012	0	. nsure + (P amendments are consistent ⁢! app"icab"e "a& and po"ic#)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	1	Consider increases for %enera" conditions &ork for c! an%e orders on"# &! en time is e/tended)	Concur	Comp"ete
2012	2a	Provide a format in e-Bui"der for processin% C (*+C contract c! an%es , uick"#)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	2 b	. nsure t! at C (*+C c! an‰ orders and dra& do&ns receive appropriate approva"s)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	3	Provide more information to eva"uation committee to ! e"p in scorin% proposa"s)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	4	Comp"ete an# 5.6scope reductions6bud%et increases6etc b# end of S7)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	8 a	(odif# S' Ps to add more specific prosect contin%enc# ran%es at different desi%n sta%es)	-onconcur	Comp"ete
2012	8 b	(odif# S' Ps to add more specificit# on ! o& pro%ram provides pro%ect bud%et oversi%! t)	-onconcur	Comp"ete
2012	8c	7eve"op compre! ensive and detai"ed PT (P temp"ates for renovation prosects6 ne& construction prosects6 and 9P &ork)	Concur ⁢! comment	Comp"ete
2012	8 d	: o"d P(s accountab"e for creatin% PT(P prior to be%innin% S7 p! ase ;at "atest<)	Concur ⁢! comment	Comp"ete
2012	8 e	7eve"op and record "essons "earned from comp"eted prosects)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	=	(odif# S' Ps to add specific %uide"ines for "ine item bud%etin% for master p"annin%)	-onconcur	Comp"ete
2012	10a	Assess reasons for P pro \$ ects biddin% over bud%ets)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	10b	Start desi%n of 9P pro\$ects ear"ier and issue 9TB ear"ier)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	10c	Add minimum , ua's for desi%nated s#stems into bid specs)	Concur	Comp"ete
2012	11a	Provide %reater oversi%! t of pro%ram durin% transition period)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	11b	pdate t! e P (P*S' P6 and train*re, uire staff to use it)	Concur ⁢! comment	Comp"ete
2012	12	. va"uate effectiveness of C (*+C and consider ot! er de"iver# mode"s)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
2012	10	Procure C (*+C b# be%innin% or mid-point of sc! ematic desi%n)	Comp"eted	Comp"ete
20162 8 C	(*4 C to	procure subcontractors b# competitive , uote up to define&lidborat brioir approva" of distr	@pmp"eted	Comp"et

2013

Summar# of Recommendations

24 Recommendations624 comp"eted

Percent Comp"eted 100% Comp"eted

Recommendations (arked as Complete Since >ast Reportin%

?ina" PT (Ps ! ave been comp"eted for @e^{ttt}ow% (adison6>inco"n and Benson) ' S(! as a Standard ' peratin% Procedure t! at re, uires comp"etion of a PT (P before prosects can proceed into S7 P! ase6 but is imp"ementin% a Prosect Initiation Approva"
8d process in eBui"der t! at &itt be re, uired before a prosect is set up in eBui"der for use) In t! e meantime6 a^{tt} prosect initiation temp"ates6 inc"udin% t! e PT (P6 ! ave been deve"oped and must be fitted out and approved b# ' S(teaders! ip before t! e eBui"der Administrator &itte up a ne& prosect in eBui"der)

201**3**